Aerodynamic Study of Bulk Commodity Tractor Trailers







Trailer Park Boys

Jake Frost Liam Johnson Brandon Hilliard

Overview



- Problem Statement
- Scale Model Manufacturing
- Experimental Set-up
- Data Reduction Techniques
- Trailer Drag & Emissions Results
- Conclusions & Recommendations





Problem Statement: Single Trailer



• Test aerodynamic features of existing tractor trailers to document drag coefficients, emissions output, and fuel consumption rates. The 48ft & 53ft Tractor Trailer configurations are:

48-foot Trailer Configurations



Problem Statement: A-Train



 Test aerodynamic features of existing tractor trailers to document drag coefficients, emissions output, and fuel consumption rates. The A-Train Tractor Trailer configurations are:

A-Train Trailer Configurations

Hopper Bins

No Nose Cone



Full-Size Tongue Length	Model Tongue Length
7'-1"	4.47"
9'-7"	5.26"
14'-1"	6.67"
16'-7"	7.45"
21'-7"	9.02"

Scale Model Part Manufacturing

T & B B B

- 3D-Printing Design Techniques
- Model Accommodations
- Wind Tunnel Accommodations







Scale Model Assembly



Number	Feature	Quantity
1	Magnets	12
2	Force Balance Attachment	2
3	Front-End Attachment	7
4	Under-Carriage Attachment	5
5	A-Train Tongue	5
6	Landing Gear	2
7	Wood Panels	15
8	Trailer Axles	6



Experimental Set-up



- The trailer models were placed on the force balance within the wind tunnel.
- A level was used to ensure the trailer was straight in the test section.
- All readouts were zeroed before turning on the wind tunnel.







Experimental Testing



- Early testing showed a relatively linear relationship between C_D and wind velocity at higher speeds.
- Future tests were ran from ~30mph to ~78mph.





Data Reduction



- Correlate wind speeds to the frequency of the fan driver input.
- Acquire drag force values from the force balance.
- Calculate emissions output (grams of CO_2 per ton-mile).
- Calculate fuel consumption rates (gal of diesel per 1000 ton-miles).



48-foot Trailer Results







- Front-end comparison
- Under-carriage comparison
- Error analysis



Base Model - C_D = 0.65; %∆ = N/A

Hopper Bins - C_p = 0.62; % Δ = 5.1

50

55

Velocity [mph]

(ii)

60

ossum Belly-C_ = 0.6; %∆ = 8.68

70

75

A-Train Trailer Results









- Nose-cone comparison
- Tongue length comparison
- Error analysis



Emissions Predictions



- One ton-mile is one ton of material carried one mile.
- Calculations are based off models with the worst drag coefficients.

Emissions Output (g-CO2/ton-mile)					
4	8-foot Trailer		A-Trai	n Traile	er
No Nose Cone	No UnderCarriage	N/A		7'-1"	123.2
	Hopper Bins	122.7		9'-7"	118.7
	Possum Belly	81.1	No Nose Cone	14'-1"	119.9
Nose Cone	No UnderCarriage	120.7		16'-7"	120.8
	Hopper Bins	118.4		21'-7"	N/A
	Possum Belly	78.5		7'-1"	118.7
Bull Nose	No UnderCarriage	114.2	Nose Cone	9'-7"	109.4
	Hopper Bins	112.6		14'-1"	113.3
	Possum Belly	74.7		16'-7"	117.8
				21'-7"	116.7

Table 1 of § 1037.107-Phase 2 CO2 Standards for Full-Aero Box Vans (g/ton-mile)

Model Veer	Dry Van		
would rear	Short	Long	
2018-2020	125.4	81.3	
2021-2023	123.7	78.9	
2024-2026	120.9	77.2	
2027+	118.8	75.7	

Fuel Consumption Predictions



- One ton-mile is one ton of material carried one mile.
- Calculations are based off models with the worst drag coefficients.

Fuel Con	sumption Rat	es (Gal-	diesel/1000	ton-n	niles)
4	8-foot Trailer		A-Trai	n Traile	er
	No UnderCarriage	N/A		7'-1"	12.10080
No Nose Cone	Hopper Bins	12.05120	No Nose Cone	9'-7"	11.65970
	Possum Belly	7.97010		14'-1"	11.77800
	No UnderCarriage	11.85640		16'-7"	11.86700
Nose Cone Bull Nose	Hopper Bins	11.63150		21'-7"	N/A
	Possum Belly	7.71150		7'-1"	11.66310
	No UnderCarriage	11.21530		9'-7"	10.74780
	Hopper Bins	11.05710	Nose Cone	14'-1"	11.12840
	Possum Belly	7.34110		16'-7"	11.57360
				21'-7"	11.46340
TABLE 15-PH	ASE 2 FULL AERO [Gallons	BOX VAN per 1,000 to	FUEL CONSUMPT n-miles]	ION ST	ANDARDS
Madel warm				Dry van	
Model years		L	ong	Short	
		v	oluntary Standards		
18 to 2020		7.98625	12.3182		
		M	andatory Standards		
21 to 2023 24 to 2026 27 and later				7.75049 7.58350 7.43615	12.15120 11.87623 11.72888

Conclusions



 C_D = 0.506 for a 53-foot trailer is with a bull nose and a possum belly.



 C_D = 0.601 for an A-Train trailer setup is with a nose cone and an extended tongue length of 9'-7".



Recommendations



• A truck in front of the trailers within the wind tunnel would produce different results.

 An analysis of the skin friction drag that our models produce should be done.







Questions/Comments/Concerns?



