Minutes

Facilitator: Dr. BeyerleinDate: 9/19/2018Minutes Recorder: Emily ChambersTime: 2:30-3:00

Attendees: Emily, Nikki, Davis, Jaime, Dr. Beyerlein Place: Dr. Beyerlein's Office

Meeting Called to Order at 2:34pm

Time:	Topic:
2:34	Review Task Order
	 Approved
2:36	Budget Review
	 Check the scale in the design suite - timber group has one
	 Consider orientation - vertical
	 Important to get to 40lb
	Good to have some buffer
2:38	Testing Apparatus
	 Check the scale in the design suite - timber group has one
	 Consider orientation - vertical
	 Important to get to 40lb
	Way to artificially keep the 40 lb high
	Getting experimental apparatus squared away is a great thing to do while
	working on design generation
	Objective and Subjective sub-tests
	Consider operator kind of view
	Compare time associated with insertion/removal
	Initial stateWhat our device can do
	 Might want to come up with some metrics similar to this
	Add more time to the testing apparatus development
	 Want proof that we can measure insertion force by snapshot 1
	(Oct. 9th)
2:40	Gantt Chart Review
	Complete up until Wiki page draft
	 Get feedback on ideas and decision matrix before filling it out
	 They might have different ideas for the rating system
	 "Working" prototype by the end of this semester
	 A prototype might just illustrate a specific aspect - it doesn't have to be
	comprehensive
	 Prototype can be small demos
	Researching ideas
	 Identify sources

- Searching patents
- What are potential sources that have good ideas
- Inventory what solutions are out there
- As we go along, if we get into parallel features we can create subcategories
- Project learning might be lumped together for "collapsed view"
 - Makes it easier to view quickly

2:47 Design Requirements

- 3.1 References
 - Research
 - Things we are studying
 - What are sources we are looking at
 - Name mechanisms that get more specific
 - Inventory of where you are going to look
 - o Capture pictures of some of the mechanisms we have found
 - Pro/con list of ideas we have researched
 - o Include literature review?
 - Take pics
 - Make observations
- 4.1 What it should do
 - o 10-15 items
 - Top 5
 - Verbal description
 - Target value
 - Insertion time/ejection time
 - Benchmark for how many times it has to effectively work (10 times suggested)
 - o Imagine issues operators might have
- Space requirements
 - o How much room is there?
 - SolidWorks analysis
- Some things might not be key issues we don't need to include those/have as much information
- No bullets
- Appearance
 - Should fit within chassis behind cover plate
- Material requirements
 - Tied up in manufacturability
- No electrical
 - Unless there are some clearance requirements for components/board
 - Not purely electrical necessarily can be how the mechanism interacts with the electronics

- Add Manufacturing requirements
 - How are you going to produce this at a low cost
- Cost
 - Map into manufacturing
- If we find something that we want to purchase to analyze/"play with" we can allot money to purchase that
 - Valuable to find
- Environmental
 - Temperature and vibration testing
 - Get more specific requirements from Sally/Jonathan
- Build a lot of project learning into this document
- Alphabetize by last name

2:57 Next week:

- Get Sally on the phone
- More robust design requirements
 - Flesh it out before the next meeting
- Wiki page

Enough to keep things in the portfolio for now

Action Items:

- Jaime will continue to work on the Project Deliverables document
- Jaime will create an agenda for the meeting on 09/21/18
- Emily will format and distribute meeting minutes for this meeting
- Everyone will continue to research ideas
- Everyone will continue to design ideas to discuss at the next meeting, 09/21/18

Upcoming Important Dates

- Sept 27th Project requirements due
- Oct 4th Logbook (w/review form) and Portfolio review
- Oct 9th Snapshot Day #1

Meeting Adjourned at 3:00pm