We discussed the CDR and it was mentioned that the lack of pictures in the beginning was disappointing and that we did need better transitions. However, people did like the description of our project!

It was brought up that apologizing for the problems with the slides in the beginning was not the best course of action and that we should avoid pointing out the flaws in our presentation. It was also mentioned that we shouldn't have so many words on the slides.

We then discussed the next semester. Coming back there will be a second design review in midlate February.

- We should have a very clear plan for the future of the project
- We discussed the engineering release review and expo and major milestones next semester
- Expo will be an in person session and over zoom

We then discussed the portfolio with Dr. Li. He pointed out that finding things easier would make things better for him. He also mentioned that there is a review form if we need to make anything clearer. We moved the value proposition to the problem definition folder. Project learning should contain a summary of past projects and anything we have learned along the way with this project. Resources should be in a references folder. We were also shown the grading sheet for a glimpse at how we should organize the folders.

It was then brought up as a reminder that this is all learning for our future and that we shouldn't be worrying so much about some of these specific comments, they are just to help us later.

We then talked about snapshot 2 and how it will have a new format. It will mostly be an update from snapshot 1 and will be less formal than the CDR. We can use most of the same information from the CDR.

We then talked about our logbooks and how they should be a lot of writing, things should be very clear to a reader.

We then talked about the CS side of things and how we are going to move forward from here. We were also reminded that the data sets are from higher cost machines.

