## Meeting Minutes

\*Lydia Engerbretson\*

\*Hannah Pearson\*

\*Matt Covalt\*

\*Matt Waltz\*

\*Feng Li\*

\*Client\*

\*February 21, 2018 1:30pm-2:00pm\*

### Agenda Notes/Status Reports

Status: We started off the meeting discussing challenges. Once we start adding configurations, it is very difficult to detect the changes unless we compare the entire capture.

This is challenging due to storage issues, we would have to store the whole capture (all eight possible capture configurations) and not just the configuration change.

It is also very difficult to detect peripherals, there is this dead space where we would not be able to detect an insertion within the dead code.

We plan to put this information of restrictions (i.e. no peripherals, limited configurations) within the test cases and specifications.

The client said to craft as consistent a system as possible, and postulate how this could possibly be achieved for future research projects. These postulations and findings will go in the project user manual and in a separate document where all our results will go as well.

We need to clearly communicate what we can and cannot detect and what the attacker can do with modifications.

They plan to test the antenna with different PCB setups. The computer is configured and will go in the mail today (2/21).

## ### Key Decisions

\* Key Decision: Ensure that we document what we can and cannot do with this project and why.

### Action Items

- \* Team: Research paper, user manual, and test cases.
- \* Team: Edit Acceptance Test Plan.
- \* Client: Send configured computer.