MULTI-TIME SCALE REAL-TIME VOLTAGE AND VAR CONTROL USING REAL-TIME CO-SIMULATIONS PLATFORM

DR. SHAILENDRA SINGH

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY) VARANASI INDIA



OUTLINE

Overview of Electric Power Distribution Network

Concept of Volt/VAR Control

Time Horizon Control

Multi-Time Scale Control

Real-Time Implementation

Real Time Co-Simulation Framework

Conclusion and Future Work



OVERVIEW OF ELECTRIC POWER DISTRIBUTION NETWORK



ELECTRIC POWER DISTRIBUTION NETWORK

Passive Distribution Network

USER SPOTLIGHT SERIES BY

Active Distribution Network



CONCEPT OF VOLT/VAR CONTROL



TRADITIONAL VOLT/VAR CONTROL

- ***Volt/VAR Regulation** through tradtional devices such as:
- ≻OLTC transformer
- Step Voltage Regulator (SVR)Capacitor Banks (CB)
- Using Line drop compensation
 Mechanism in open loop

Drawbacks :

✓ Poor voltage profile at end users
 ✓ Inaccurate load modeling
 ✓ Poor observability and monitoring of the system

Voltage Distribution at feeder length



SMART VOLT/VAR CONTROL

Volt/VAR Regulation through traditional devices and utility assets such as *distributed energy resources* (devices as: *Smart Inverter*)
 Using Volt/VAR Optimization (VVO) Methods in closed loop framework



TIME HORIZON CONTROL



MULTI-TIME SCALE CONTROL

Slow and Fast Time Scale Control using network devices/assets

- Slow acting devices : OLTC, SVR and CBs
- > Fast acting devices : **Smart inverter**





CONTROL FRAMEWORK





METHODOLOGY

Centralized with local control Framework

STSC : Centralized Model Predictive VVO

FTSC : Local using Droop Control



Fast Time Scale Control (FTSC)

Unexpected situations such as

- ✓ Sudden dynamic change in network configuration
- ✓ Transient cloud movements
- ✓ Sudden cloud appearing and disappearing
- ✓ Solar eclipse

Consequently, it may cause violation of system limits.

> Traditionally, VVO control devices limitation:

Solution:

Decentralized/ autonomous controls using *Smart Inverter*

- ✓ OLC, AVR and capacitor banks (CB) have slow time operation constraints
- ✓ Frequent operations of these devices will reduce their life cycles.

VOLT/VAR DROOP (VVD) CURVE : LOCAL CONTROLLER

✓ The revised IEEE 1547 (2018)
 report that each DER must have
 VAR compensation provision when
 asked by power system operators

The standard droop function f(.) at
 kth bus that is a piecewise linear
 function with a dead band
 β and droop points (P1, P2, P3 and P4)

Standard Volt/VAR droop Curve



REAL-TIME IMPLEMENTATION



IMPLEMENTATION OF CONTROL ALGORITHMS

Local Control using volt/VAR droop methods in real-time

Centralized with local control in near real-time

Realizing using Real-Time Digital Simulator (RTDS) Platform

Distribution Mode





LOCAL CONTROL : AUTONOMOUS APPROACH

Dynamic Voltage control in FTSC using Smart Inverter

 A Volt/VAR droop control algorithm executed locally in real-time
 Inverter based DER Model using Average model
 Simulation time step of 150 micro-

seconds in *distribution mode*

RTDS Platform



CASE STUDY AND SIMULATION

Cases:

- Cloud transient impact during solar irradiations form 0.8 p.u. to 0.3 p.u:
- In absence of EV loads
- In presence of EV loads
- ✓ Three, 3 phase PV plants at nodes 848 (PV1), 890 (PV2) and 840 (PV3) having inverter ratings 200kVA, 300kVA and 200kVA respectively

Test System: Modified IEEE 34 bus unbalanced test system



Node 890 voltage is highly vulnerable for any reduction in DER power output

Shailendra Singh, Vijay Babu P, and S. P. Singh, "Time Horizon-based Model Predictive Volt/VAR Optimization for Smart Grid Enabled CVR in Presence of Electric Vehicle Charging Loads", in **IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications**. doi: 10.1109/TIA.2019.2928490, 2019.

MODEL DEVELOPMENT IN RSCAD/RTDS



CASE I: CLOUD TRANSIENT IMPACT IN ABSENCE OF EV LOADS:

Lowest voltage profile without and with droop controllers



PV Inverter reactive power compensation without and with droop controls



CASE II: CLOUD TRANSIENT IMPACT IN PRESENCE OF EV LOADS:

Lowest voltage profile with PV and EV inverters VAR support



Compensated VAR support from PV2 and EV inverter at node 890



CENTRALIZED WITH LOCAL CONTROL



REAL-TIME CO-SIMULATIONS



REAL -TIME CO-SIMULATION PLATFORM

Co-Simulations RTDS – Python – OpenDSS

Real-Time Simulation using RTDS

✓ Distribution mode with time step of 150 micro-second

>External Agents

✓ Python and OpenDSS

Communication Interface and

Simulation Set up

- ✓ Socket Communication
- ✓ ListenOnPort Interface
- ✓ Uses TCP/IP Protocol

USER SPOTLIGHT SERIES BY

Real Time Co-Simulation Framework



IMPLEMENTATION VIA THREE LAYER DISPATCH MODEL



TEST CASE STUDY:

Cases: STSC: Forecasting Error Impact FTSC: Cloud Transient Impact

- PV Plants Rating: PV1: 1MVA,
 - PV2: 800KVA, PV3: 400KVA,
- > Load Model:

USER SPOTLIGHT SERIES BY

Constant ZIP load model

- Mode of VVC operation
- Predictive VVO method

Modified IEEE 123 Node Unbalanced Distribution Feeder



Shailendra Singh, Vijay Babu P, A,K, Thakur and S. P. Singh,. "Multistage Multi-objective Volt/VAR Control for Smart Grid-Enabled CVR With Solar PV Penetration." *IEEE Systems Journal,2020* (Early Access).

LOAD AND SOLAR **IRRADIANCE PROFILES**



Load Demand (p.u)

1

Solar Irridiance (kW/m^2)

0.9

USER SPOTLIGHT SERIES BY Technologies

RUN TIME WINDOW IN RTDS





ONLINE VALIDATION UNDER STSC: CORRECTIVE ACTION

The MPC based VVO reduces about 3.66% energy demand and 1.69 % energy losses in one hour CVR duration



Table : VVO Set Points change

Device/ Time	14:00 -14:15	14:15 -14:30	14:30 -14:45	14:45 -15:00
OLTC	*NC, (Hourly)			
СВ	NC, (Hourly)			
AVR	NC	1	2	NC
PV (Q) Inverter	NC	NC	NC	NC

*NC – No change required. Already at optimal state

Active Power Demand

Active Power Losses



ILLUSTRATION UNDER FTSC: EMERGENCY ACTION

Case Study:

Case I: Sudden cloud transient Appearance:

- An arbitrary instantaneous point between time intervals from 14:00 to 14:15 is selected.
- > The load demand is 1.0 p.u., and solar irradiation is 0.81 kW/m² at this instant.
- The solar irradiation reduces from 0.81 to 0.1 kW/m² because of the sudden appearance of cloud transients.
- During this time span, the lowest voltage profile at Node 114a becomes vulnerable to any reduction in kilowatt power.

AUTONOMOUS REAL-TIME CONTROL : ADAPTIVE VVD

Selection of VVD curve:

- Traditional VVD control have fixed droop and uncontrol parameters hence may not able to control voltage properly
- An adaptive VVD controller has been introduced in which droop parameters are adopted according to the operating scenario.
- > The **new droop parameters** (P_{1new1} , P_{1new2} , P_{2new2} , P_{3new1} , P_{3new2} , P_{4new1} and P_{4new2}) are flexible and adaptive in nature using proposed control strategy.



CASE I: SUDDEN CLOUD TRANSIENT APPEARANCE:

Subcase-1: Single PV power Outage

Fig. Real-time simulation results for Subcase 1

(a) Solar irradiation reduction

- **(b)** Active power production profile under cloud transient (only PV1)
- (c) Lowest voltage profile at node 114a with No_VVD, fixed VVD, and with Adaptive VVD control

(d) Reactive power compensation through only PV1 system under No VVD, fixed VVD and with Adaptive VVD control



Subcase-2: Multiple PVs power Outage



Fig.1 Real-time simulation results for Subcase 2

- (a) PVs Active power production profile under cloud transient
- (b) Lowest voltage profile at node 114a with No_VVD, fixed VVD, and with Adaptive VVD control



Fig.2 Reactive power compensation through

(a) PV1 (Qpv1) (b) PV2 (Qpv2) (c) PV3 (Qpv3) system under No VVD, fixed VVD and with Adaptive VVD control

CASE II: SUDDEN CLOUD TRANSIENT DISAPPEARANCE:

- An arbitrary instantaneous point between time intervals **15:00 to 15:15** is selected.
- The load demand is 0.40 p.u., and solar irradiation is 0.41 kW/m2 at this instant due to cloudy weather.
- The sudden cloud disappearance and solar irradiation vary from 0.41 to 0.8 kW/m2
- The PV3 power plant located at **104c** is most affected and it violates the maximum voltage limit (1.02 p.u) at point of connection.
- Other PV power plant voltage remains within range.

USER SPOTLIGHT SERIES BY

Voltage at PV3 node No control, with fixed droop and with adaptive droop control



CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK



CONCLUSION

- Multi-time scale VVC scheme comprising centralized as well as local control is suitable for voltage regulation.
- Time-Horizon based MP-VVO along with droop controller works well under aggregated and autonomous controls.
- The developed control methodology is proficiently capable of handling the disturbances (uncertainty and intermittency) of the active distribution networks.
 Adaptive VVD controller can handle the voltage fluctuation under changing operating scenarios
- > The developed **real-time co-simulations platform** using the **RTDS** (distribution mode) could be useful for the study of **large-scale practical distribution systems**.



Models and control algorithms are scalable for Microgrids Applications
 Validations of algorithms in Controller Hardware in loop (CHIL) and
 Power Hardware in loop (PHIL)
 Real-Time co-simulations platform for Integrated Transmission and

Real-Time co-simulations platform for Integrated Transmission and Distribution System



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi, for the project entitled real-time simulation of smart grid with distributed energy resources under R&D grant and *Indo-US Science and Technology forum new Delhi and Department of Science & Technology, govt. of India under the Bhaskara Advance Solar Energy (BASE) Internship scheme*

I would like to thank Power System Engineering Centre, National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), Golden, CO, USA researchers for their valuable suggestions and support SER SPOTLIGHT SERIES BY



